UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,649	07/31/2001	Mark J. Feldstein	79856-US2	1077
26384 NAVAL DESE	7590 05/03/2007		EXAM	INER
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS)			LUDLOW, JAN M	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	CODE 1008.2 4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20375-5320		1743	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/917,649	FELDSTEIN, MARK J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jan M. Ludlow	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	<u> 3 June 2006</u> .					
·=	· 					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Εχ paπe Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	63 is/are withdrawn from cor					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12/7/2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objecte the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 09/917,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions filed on June 8, 2006 and December 7, 2005 have been entered.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - IA1. Claims 29, 47, 56, 57, 61, drawn to a subcombination, classified in class 422, subclass 100.
 - IA2. Claims 64-67, drawn to a combination, classified in class 422, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions IA2 and IA1 are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the negative limitation prohibiting flow unless the negative flow source is activated and at least one reservoir is unsealed. Note that the combination recites particular flow patterns as happening only in certain situations, but does not

Application/Control Number: 09/917,649 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

preclude flow as in the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a fluidics system not requiring the specifics of claim 64.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A burden in searching and examination exists in the requirement for different search terms in electronic searching and concomitant analysis of different references and issues of patentability.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

Jml April 30, 2007